Decision details

Traffic Regulation Order - decision to make order with amendments

• Find out more about this issue

Decision Maker: Joint Assistant Director: Commercial Services

Decision status: Recommendations Approved

Is Key decision?: No

Is subject to call in?: No

Decision:

To proceed with the making of all the proposals with the exception of those relating to Kingston Meadows car park, East Horsley.

In that specific case, rather than making the order as advertised, revoke the existing order in its entirety, thereby further reducing the level of restriction.

Reasons for the decision:

To make improvements in the way various car parks are managed and to tidy up the traffic regulation order (TRO) for those car parks that have been closed.

Alternative options considered:

The vast majority of the proposed amendments are being implemented as advertised.

In the case of Kingston Meadows car park, East Horsley:

1) the existing TRO could remain, but then it would need to be enforced (previous enforcement on these terms was not welcomed by various key stakeholders)

2) the proposed amendment could be introduced, but this would require removal of the advisory signage, which would conflict with the proposals to remove the limited waiting and no return provisions.

3) the revocation of the TRO will allow the advisory signage to remain, but if

inconsiderate parking was subsequently to take place, consideration could be given to the reintroduction of a TRO.

Source of Authority to take Decision:

3.9.3

Delegation

To make all decisions, take all actions and exercise all powers in respect of the Council's car parks and on street parking functions in accordance with any one or number of the following legislation and/or any adopted policy(ies) of the Council and/or any other subsequent new or replacing legislation relating to the Council's parking functions: i. Highways Act 1980 ii. Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 iii. Road Traffic Regulation Act 1991 iv. Traffic Management Act 2004 Consultation Portfolio Holder in respect of charges Limitations i.The adoption of and amendments to the Council's Car Parking Strategy; ii. The setting of off-street car parking charges other than for a limited period for the promotion of the town & support to business

Publication date: 01/05/2025

Date of decision: 01/05/2025

Accompanying Documents:

- Summary of representations received 2 PDF 57 КВ
- Exec. report providing authority to advertise PDF 7 MB

Parking proposals advertised - March/April 2025

Car park	Proposal	No. of reps.	Support	Neither / Nor	Object	Reason for Objection	Officer Response
Guildford Lido	name change and introduction of permit scheme for users of Lido	0	0	0	0	n/a	Make TRO without amendment
Kingston Meadows, East Horsley	remove limited waiting and no return same day restrictions but retain manner of standing / disabled space provisions	19	0	0	19		When the controls (that are broadly outlined by the unenforcea enforced, key stakeholders objected to them being enforced. S alternative approaches put forward by GBC, to try to overcome However, it would now appear that those responding, includin present controls, now want these controls to be retained and a no return provisions. Given that the unenforceable, advisory si team has not received any complaints about inconsiderate pa recommendation is now to reduce the level of restriction still fu their entirety. Please note that this will not prevent the possibil should parking issues arise in the future. As premises manage unenforceable advisory signage to remain in-situ. Do not proceed with the proposal - instead, Revoke TRO.
Lawn Road	extend operational hours from 8am-6pm to 8am-10pm	0	0	0	0	n/a	Make TRO without amendment
Millmead House	extend operational hours from 8am-6pm to 8am-10pm	0	0	0	0	n/a	Make TRO without amendment
Sutherland Memorial Park, Burpham	remove limited waiting / charging / no return same day restrictions but retain manner of standing / disabled space provisions	0	0	0	0	n/a	Make TRO without amendment
Bellerby Theatre	revocation - car park closed	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
College Road	revocation - car park closed	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
Commercial Road 1	revocation - car park closed	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
Commercial Road 2	revocation - car park closed	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
Guildford Youth and Community	revocation - car park closed	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
Moorfield Road	revocation - not a car park and now covered by an on-street TRO	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
Old Police Station	revocation - car park closed	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
Ripley CP	revocation - car park redeveloped	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO
Victoria Court	revocation - car park closed	0	0	0	0	n/a	Revoke TRO

rceable, advisory signs presently in-situ) were previously d. Subsequently, the key stakeholders were also opposed to the me their concerns about the restrictions and their enforcement. Iding some of those that objected to the enforcement of the nd are objecting to the proposal to remove the limited waiting and y signage generally appears to be effective and GBC's Parking e parking at the car park over a period of several years, the officer ill further, by removing / revoking the formalised restrictions in ibility of formalised parking controls being considered again, ager and if so inclined, GBC's Parks team could allow the current,