Screening/Scoping Pro Forma

Section	Guil	buildford House Gallery			Officer responsible for the screening/scoping				Mike Beckwith / Christian Dettlaff			
Name of Policy to Gallery & Brew House						Date of		•		proposed new or existing		
be assessed Programming and Hiring				Assessment 25/06/08 police			olicy/procedure/practice? existing					
1. Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the policy/procedure/practice?				To provide a wide range of temporary exhibitions to the widest possible audience and encourage maximum attendance/ visitors to the venues.								
2. Are there any associated or specific objectives of the policy/procedure/practice? Please explain.				Maximise income to the venue through shop sales and venue hiring								
3. Who is intended to benefit from this policy and in what way?				Audiences/visitors; public; shoppers(some products are linked to exhibitions); Council; artists								
4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy/procedures/practice?				Balanced programme with wide appeal. Income from hirings.								
5. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?				Budget; availability of material; public interest; security; staffing; floor loadings; space; access for staging; listed building status.								
6. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy? As Q3			7. Who implements the policy, and who is responsible for the policy? Gallery Manager Gallery Manager									
8. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact on racial groups?				Please explain We focus on exhibitions that will attract the widest possible audience and particular types of art form that would not exclude any racial groups.								
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				Due to difficulty of sources and/or resourcing the search for appropriate material, no exhibitions have focused on work of particular racial groups.								
9. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to gender?				N General programme not gender specific								

What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?								
10. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to disability?	Y		Exhibitions would be suitable for all but additional provision would need to be made for blind visitors or those with certain mental disabilities.					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			Unless these visitors were accompanied by a companion who could assist, a tour would need to be booked with Gallery staff to enable information on the exhibition to be given.					
11. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to sexual orientation?		N	General programme provided					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?		1	<u> </u>					
12. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their age?	Y		Certain exhibitions are aimed more at certain age groups e.g. children, but nobody is excluded.					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?								
13. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their religious belief?		N	General programme provided					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	Due to difficulty of sources and/or resourcing the search for appropriate material, no exhibitions have focused on work of particular religious groups.							
14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them having dependants/caring responsibilities?		N	Due to differing tastes in art some exhibitions could appeal more to a family audience than others, but this is to be expected and is not a concern.					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			<u> </u>					

15. Are there concerns that the policy differential impact due to them have a past?				N	No details of the visitor would be known				
What existing evidence (either presur otherwise) do you have for this?	ned	or							
16. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them being Transgender or transsexual?					N General programme provided				
What existing evidence (either presur otherwise) do you have for this?	ned	or							
17. Could the differential impact identified in 8-16 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy/procedure/practice?		N	Please e	xplai	ain				
18. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group? Or any other reason?		N			ain for each heading (questions 8-16) on a separate piece of paper. Desitively discriminate in the type of art work displayed to the detriment of any				
Business improvement			Please explain Problem areas in Q10 will be partially overcome with the introduction of a virtual tour.						
19. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above groups?	Υ								
20. Does differential impact or unmet need cut across the equality strands (e.g. elder BME groups)?		N	Please e	xplai	ain				

21. If yes, should the full EIA be conducted jointly with another service area/contractor/partner/agency?	Y	N	Please explain	
22. Is there a missed opportunity to improve your business in relation to any of the policies, procedures or practices to promote racial, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation, religion or belief equality?			None identified that are not being addressed	
			Yes	No
			24. If No, are there any changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda? Introduction of virtual tour	

Signed (completing officer)	Date June 2008
Signed (Head of Section)	Date
Countersigned	Date October 2008