Screening/Scoping Pro Forma

Section	-	erational Services iness Support		r responsible for reening/scopin		Derek Trawber		
Name of Polic be assessed	cy to	Management of Woking Road Depo	ot	Date of Assessment	6/4/10		proposed new or existing ocedure/practice?	Existing
1. Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the policy/procedure/practice?			To provide a suitable operational base for the Council's operational services and appropriate facilities for staff, visitors and members of the public coming to the site.					
2. Are there any associated or specific objectives of the policy/procedure/practice? Please explain.			 To ensure facilities comply with the Disability Discrimination Act. To ensure all health and safety requirements are met. 					
3. Who is inte what way?	ended	to benefit from this policy and in	• • • All requ	Customers visi Customers visi	ing the	Recycling and (MOT Station	GBC staff, those attending mo Cleansing office duties or their business.	eetings)
4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy/procedures/practice?			Facilities do not present any barriers to those in the identified equalities groups.					
5. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?			Finance (budgetary pressures). Housekeeping (need to ensure the depot is clean and well organised).					
6. Who are th stakeholders to the policy?	in rel	Otan		poli	cy, and onsibl	blements the I who is e for the	Head of Operational Servic Business Support Manager	

8. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact on racial groups?	NIt is recognised that not all staff and/or visitors have English as a first language. Where necessary and practicable, signage, notices, instructions etc. will be made available in alternative languages or formats.		
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. Staff, visitors and customers come from various racial groups and I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.		
9. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to gender?	N		
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. Staff, visitors and customers are both male and female and I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.		
10. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to disability?			
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	The depot has been subject to a DDA survey and action plan. This has resulted in facilities being improved for disabled staff, visitors and customers in recent years.		
11. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to sexual orientation?	N		
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.		
12. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their age?	N		
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. Staff, visitors and customers are all ages and I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.		

13. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their religious belief?	N
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.
14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them having dependants/caring responsibilities?	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.
15. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them have an offending past?	N
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.
16. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them being Transgender or transsexual?	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We have no evidence that this is an issue. I have never received any negative feedback in relation to this issue.

17. Could the differential impact identified in 8-16 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy/procedure/practice?	N	
18. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group? Or any other reason?	Ν	
Business improvement 19. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above groups?	N	
20. Does differential impact or unmet need cut across the equality strands (e.g. elder BME groups)?	Ν	
21. If yes, should the full EIA be conducted jointly with another service area/contractor/partner/agency?	N	

22. Is there a missed opportunity to improve your business in relation to any of the policies, procedures or practices to promote racial, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation, religion or belief equality?	N	The opportunity will be taken to be proactive in providing information in alternative languages/formats
23. Should the policy proceed to a full equality impact assessment?	Ν	
24. If No, are there any changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda?		 Carry out a survey of staff, visitors and customers in relation to these issues. Complete works identified in the DDA survey plan.

Signed (completing officer)	Date April 2010
Signed (Head of Section)	Date
Countersigned (Corporate Diversity/Diversity/Policy Team)	Date April 2010