Screening/Scoping Pro Forma

Section	Commu	inication Services		r responsible for reening/scopin		Laurie Will/Carolyn Patterson						
Name of Policy to be assessed Internal Communications			Date of 11/6, Assessment		O8 Is this a proposed new or existing policy/procedure/practice? Existing procedure							
		aims, objectives and procedure/practice?	To help	To help promote good internal communications across the authority.								
of the polic	y/procedui	ciated or specific objectives re/practice? Please explain.	•	<ul> <li>To provide and upload relevant news stories for the Council's intranet – The Loop</li> <li>To provide relevant all staff emails when required</li> <li>To assist with other process such as the creation of the Team Talk system and Core Briefs.</li> </ul>								
4. What out policy/proc	comes are	As in 3	As in 3.									
5. What fac			Poor quality of content (ie irrelevant, missing important issues), use of complex language which is hard to understand, lack of topicality.									
6. Who are stakeholder to the police	rs in relatio	Staff and the Council		polic	y, and vonsible	Jim Miles is the responsible director by much of the development work and the work on production of, for example, By The Wey the staff newsletter is done to Communication Services.						

8. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact on racial groups?	Υ	N	Please explain				
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	Communications methods are available to all regardless of race. We are not aware of a need to provide any of the communications media in alternative languages but this facility would be made available on request. We have received no negative feedback.						
9. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to gender?	Y	N	N				
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			unications methods are available to all regardless of gender. We try to use r sensitive language. We have received no negative feedback.				
10. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to disability?	Y	N	N				
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	no	req	unications methods are available to all regardless of ability. We have received uests for communications systems in alternative formats but this facility would de available on request. We have received no negative feedback.				
11. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to sexual orientation?	Y	N	N				
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			unications methods are available to all regardless of sexual orientation. We eceived no negative feedback.				
12. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their age?	Y	N	N				
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			unications methods are available to all regardless of age. We have received nove feedback.				
13. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their religious belief?	Y	N	N				

What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				Communications methods are available to all regardless of religion. We have received no negative feedback.							
14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them having dependants/caring responsibilities?					N	N					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?						Communications methods are available to all regardless of their status in terms of caring responsibilities. We have received no negative feedback.					
15. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them have an offending past?				Y	N	N					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?				Communications methods are available to all regardless of their status in terms of offending history. We have received no negative feedback.							
16. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them being Transgender or transsexual?					N	N					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			Communications methods are available to all regardless of their status as in terms of Transgender or Transexual. We have received no negative feedback.								
17. Could the differential impact identified in 8-16 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy/procedure/practice?	Υ	N	Please N	ease explain							
18. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group? Or any other reason?	Y	N		se explain for each heading (questions 8-16) on a separate piece of paper.  applicable							

Business improvement			Please explain
19. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above groups?	Y	N	
20. Does differential impact or unmet need cut across the equality strands (e.g. elder BME groups)?	Y	N	Please explain
21. If yes, should the full EIA be conducted jointly with another service area/contractor/partner/agency?	Y	N	Please explain
22. Is there a missed opportunity to improve your business in relation to any of the policies, procedures or practices to promote racial, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation, religion or belief equality?			Using internal com's to promote equality, raise awareness of E&D activity etc.

23. Should the policy proceed to full equality impact assessment	Υ	N	Possibly		
24. If No, are there any changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda?	Υ		Make clear that documents can be made available in alternative formats or alternative languages	Yes and/or	No

Signed (completing officer)

Date 11 June 2008

Signed (Head of Section)

Date June 2008

Countersigned (HR representative)

Date October 2008